

Hall Coefficient in the doped Mott Insulator

Pinaki Majumdar and H. R. Krishnamurthy[†]

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India.

[†] *Also at Jawaharlal Nehru Center for Advanced Scientific Research, Jakkur,
Bangalore 560 064, India.*

(February 1, 2008)

We compute the (zero frequency) Hall coefficient R_H , and the high frequency Hall constant R_H^* for the strong coupling Hubbard model away from half-filling, in the $d = \infty$ / local approximation, using the new iterated perturbation scheme proposed by Kajueter and Kotliar. We find quantitative agreement of our R_H^* with the QMC results obtained in two dimensions by Assaad and Imada [Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3868 (1995)]. However while the *sign* of R_H is quite accurately reproduced by R_H^* the doping dependence of its magnitude at large U is not. We report results for the complete temperature (T), doping (x) and U dependence of R_H and R_H^* and discuss their possible relevance to doped cuprates.

PACS numbers: 71.28.+d, 71.30.+h, 72.10.-d

Hall measurements are normally regarded as a standard probe for the density and *sign* of charge carriers in electronic systems. Within a Boltzmann, continuum ($\epsilon_{\vec{k}} \sim k^2$) picture, the Hall coefficient, R_H , is given by $1/ne$, where n is the density and e the charge of the carriers. In the presence of a lattice, and for weak scattering, R_H depends on the curvature ($\partial^2 \epsilon_{\vec{k}} / \partial k_x^2$, etc) of the Fermi surface (FS) [1] and need not have the same sign as e ; an "electron-like" (positive curvature) FS implies $R_H < 0$ and a "hole-like" (negative curvature) FS implies $R_H > 0$.

An obvious question of great interest is whether this correspondence would survive for strong correlations. Experiments on some of the high T_c systems [2,3] reveal a hole-like FS [4] while R_H changes from electron-like to hole-like with electron doping [5]. In addition, both the doping and temperature dependence of R_H are "anomalous": R_H shows unbounded growth as the "half-filled" state is approached, while the temperature dependence is roughly $1/T$, with a saturation as $T \rightarrow T_c$, the superconducting transition temperature, from above. The physics of the cuprates is believed to be governed by strong electron-electron repulsion (large U , in the Hubbard context) and low dimensionality ($d = 2$). This has motivated the search for controlled approximations that help to isolate the generic correlation affected features in transport in strongly correlated models. For the Hubbard model in $d = 2$ the only controlled calculations that exist are for the high frequency Hall constant, R_H^* , defined by [6-8]

$$R_H^* = \lim_{B \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{x,y}(\omega) / [B \sigma_{x,x}^2(\omega)] \quad (1)$$

Unlike the usually measured (zero frequency) Hall coefficient, R_H , which involves low energy scattering processes, R_H^* defined above involves only the reactive response. Nevertheless, as demonstrated first by Shastry *et al* [6], R_H^* encodes information about correlation effects in the system and (unlike R_H) is accessible within controlled calculations. For the Hubbard model it has been computed through Quantum Monte Carlo [7] and finite cluster [8] calculations, and for the $t - J$ model through high temperature series expansion [6], and cluster calculations [8]. Finite size effects and analytic continuation problems which hinder low frequency transport calculations in these contexts do not significantly affect the results on R_H^* .

One significant result of these studies is the finite temperature crossover from electron-like to hole-like R_H^* as the hole doping concentration, x , away from half-filling is reduced below $\sim 30\%$. This is consistent with some of the high T_c data on R_H [2,3] and raises the intriguing possibility that R_H^* may mimic all the features of R_H . Hence it would be interesting to calculate *both* R_H and R_H^* for a strongly correlated model within a single scheme and clarify the connection between them. The recently developed $d = \infty$ or local approximation [9,10] does provide us with a controlled scheme for studying this connection in a strongly interacting system. We report and discuss the results of such a study of the R_H and R_H^* for the doped Mott insulator phase of the Hubbard model in this paper. Our primary results can be summarised as follows. (i) The results for R_H^* computed in the local approximation are quantitatively consistent with QMC results [7] obtained for $d=2$. (ii) There is a *complete correspondence* of the *sign* of R_H and R_H^* computed within this approximation at *intermediate* T over a wide range of x and U . (iii) However, R_H and R_H^* , differ in sign as $T \rightarrow 0$, and their *magnitudes* have *qualitatively different* dependences on x , for intermediate T and large U , close to half-filling.

Our calculations are based on the (by now well known) mapping of Hubbard model in the $d \rightarrow \infty$ limit to a self-consistently embedded impurity problem [9,10]. At half-filling this model shows [11] a transition from a Fermi-liquid to a Mott insulating phase at $U = U_c \sim 2.5$ (in units of D , the half-bandwidth, which we set to 1) at $T = 0$, as long as there is sufficient frustration in the lattice to suppress magnetic order. Away from half-filling

the system is metallic, and there is no evidence of magnetic order [12]. Most of this has been learnt [13] using a combination of Quantum Monte Carlo, exact diagonalisation, the NCA [14], and iterated perturbation theory (IPT) [10,15,16] schemes for solving the impurity problem. Of these, the IPT scheme, because of its simplicity, has been particularly useful in clarifying the physics at half-filling. It reproduces Fermi-liquid behaviour for $U < U_c$ and is exact in both the atomic ($t = 0$) and the band ($U = 0$) limits. Although it does not reproduce the exact critical behaviour as $U \rightarrow U_c$ at $T = 0$, it gives excellent results at intermediate temperatures and allows one to directly calculate the continuous *real-frequency* spectra of the system. The absence of a similar approximation away from half-filling, where the proximity to a correlation driven insulating state is expected to profoundly affect the "metallic" behaviour, had until recently been a bottleneck. A recent paper by Kajueter and Kotliar [17] achieves a significant advance by devising an interpolation scheme which is exact in the atomic and band limits at any filling and obtains the correct low energy physics by imposing the Friedel sum rule. Our calculations are based on this new scheme, which as originally proposed was for $T = 0$, but has been adapted by us to $T \neq 0$ in a straightforward way.

We refer the reader to the original paper [17] for the detailed formulation of this scheme and comparison with exact results. For our purposes it is enough to note that in this scheme the self-energy at $T = 0$ is approximated as $\Sigma_{int}(\omega) = A\Sigma_2(\omega)/[1 - B\Sigma_2(\omega)]$ where Σ_2 is the analytically continued second order self-energy

$$\Sigma_2(\omega) = \left[\frac{U^2}{\beta^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{G}_{n-n_1} \mathcal{G}_{n_2} \mathcal{G}_{n_1+n_2} \right]_{i\omega_n \rightarrow \omega^+} \quad (2)$$

with $\mathcal{G}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}(i\omega_n)$ etc. Here $A \equiv \frac{n(1-n)}{n_0(1-n_0)}$ and $B \equiv \frac{(1-n)U - \mu + \tilde{\mu}_0}{n_0(1-n_0)U^2}$ have been chosen so as to reproduce the atomic limit and the high frequency behaviour of the self-energy at any filling; $n \equiv \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_G(\omega) d\omega$ and $n_0 \equiv \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_{\mathcal{G}}(\omega) d\omega$, where $\rho_G(\omega)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{G}}(\omega)$ are the spectral functions of the full local propagator $G(\omega)$ and the "bare" local propagator $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$ respectively; $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(\omega) = \omega + \tilde{\mu}_0 - \Delta(\omega)$, where $\Delta(\omega)$ is the hybridisation of the self-consistently embedded impurity problem and $\tilde{\mu}_0$ is a free parameter fixed by imposing the Friedel sum rule. At $T = 0$ and for a given U , the chemical potential μ , "quasi chemical -potential" $\tilde{\mu}_0$ and occupation number n are related uniquely through the interpolation and sum rule constraints.

The ground state averages n and n_0 which enter the interpolating self-energy $\Sigma_{int}(\omega)$ trivially generalise to $T \neq 0$ as thermal averages ($n = \langle \hat{n} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_G(\omega) f(\omega) d\omega$, $f \equiv [\exp(\omega/T) + 1]^{-1}$; etc) and still yield a sensible interpolation. For $T \neq 0$ and a desired n we fix $\tilde{\mu}_0(n, T)$

as $\tilde{\mu}_0(n, T = 0)$ and solve for $\mu(n, T)$ self-consistently. Thus once the connection between μ, n and $\tilde{\mu}_0$ is established at $T = 0$ for a given U , the $T \neq 0$ calculations only involve the $d = \infty$ consistency loop and are computationally quite affordable. We compute the self-energy, $\Sigma(\omega)$ of the lattice problem as the self-consistent self-energy $\Sigma_{int}(\omega)$ of the impurity problem directly for real frequencies, and use it to study R_H and R_H^* for large U , ($U/D \sim 4 - 6$) as a function of T and x . R_H is computed from the conductivity tensor $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega = 0)$. In the $d \rightarrow \infty$ /local approximation the transport coefficients do not involve vertex corrections [19] and the dc conductivity is given by

$$\sigma_{xx}(0) = c_{xx} \int d\epsilon \rho_0(\epsilon) \int d\omega A^2(\epsilon, \omega) (-\partial f / \partial \omega) \quad (3)$$

where $c_{xx} = e^2 \pi / (d \hbar a_0)$, a_0 is the lattice spacing, $\rho_0(\epsilon) = (2/\pi D) \sqrt{1 - (\epsilon/D)^2}$ is the bare density of states (DOS), and $A(\epsilon, \omega) \equiv -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\omega + \mu - \epsilon - \Sigma(\omega)]^{-1}$ is the spectral function. The transverse conductivity is given by [18,20]

$$\sigma_{xy}(0) = B c_{xy} \int d\epsilon \rho_0(\epsilon) \epsilon \int d\omega A^3(\epsilon, \omega) (-\partial f / \partial \omega) \quad (4)$$

where $c_{xy} = |e|^3 \pi^2 a_0 / (3d^2 \hbar^2)$. R_H is then given by $\sigma_{xy}(0) / [B \sigma_{xx}^2(0)]$. For R_H^* we use the expression for a hypercubic lattice [6]

$$R_H^* = (a_0^3 / |e|) \sum \cos k_x \cos k_y \langle n_{k,\sigma} \rangle / [\sum \cos k_x \langle n_{k,\sigma} \rangle]^2 \quad (5)$$

In the spirit of the local approximation we compute $\langle n_{k,\sigma} \rangle$, the momentum distribution function, from our self-energy and do the $\vec{k}$ sums over a 2d Brillouin zone.

We first discuss $R_H^*(x, T)$ since 2d QMC [7] and cluster calculations [8] provide us with results to compare with. For large U , ($U/t \sim 16$) QMC results are available only above $T/t \sim 0.25$, ($T \sim 0.06$ in our units, $D \sim 4t$) and there is a clear peak structure in $R_H^*(T)$ with the location of the maxima T_{max}^{QMC} gradually shifting to lower T as U increases at a fixed doping ($x = 0.05$). This is consistent with our results; but as $T \rightarrow 0$ we find an "anomalous" region ($R_H^* > 0$) in the $U - x$ plane which could not be accessed within QMC but is clearly seen in cluster calculations [8]. The detailed comparison is shown in Fig.1.

Exploring the detailed x, T dependence of R_H^* and R_H for $U/D = 4$ (roughly $U = 16t$ in 2d), we find that R_H^* remains hole-like down to $T = 0$ for $x \lesssim 0.12$ (Fig.2a) while for $x \gtrsim 0.20$, R_H^* is electronlike for all T . R_H however is *electron-like* for all x at $T = 0$ (Fig.2b). This, as we discuss below, is a consequence of the Friedel sum rule which forces R_H to preserve its non-interacting, band structure determined, value at $T = 0$. For small x however there is a rapid crossover to a hole-like R_H with increasing

T . Fig.3 shows the crossover temperature T_{cr} as located from the numerically evaluated R_H . $T_{cr} \sim x^2$ for small, x (Fig.3), and rises more steeply as $x \rightarrow x_c \sim 0.25$, beyond which there is no crossover. For $x < x_c \sim 0.25$ there is a high temperature branch of $T_{cr}(x)$ across which there is a "re-entrant" change of sign in R_H . For $x \gtrsim 0.25$ R_H , like R_H^* , is electron-like for all T . While the T dependence illustrates the similarity in the *sign* of R_H and R_H^* at intermediate T ($T \gtrsim 0.15 \sim O(J)$ in 2d) the $T \rightarrow 0$ result illustrates their essential difference. This difference arises from the fact that, for $T \rightarrow 0$, R_H is a Fermi surface object, completely dominated by the *coherent part* of the spectra (which leads to the divergent $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$), while R_H^* being a "high-frequency" object gets contribution from all over the Brillouin zone.

The fact that at $T = 0$ all correlation effects cancel out of R_H [22] is easily seen by using the $\omega \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow 0$ Fermi liquid parametrisation of the spectral function : $A(\epsilon, \omega) \sim \Gamma / ((m^* \omega + \bar{\mu} - \epsilon)^2 + \pi^2 \Gamma^2)$ where $\Gamma \equiv -(1/\pi) \text{Im} \Sigma(\omega = 0)$, $m^* \equiv 1 - (\partial \Sigma_R / \partial \omega)_{\omega=0}$ and $\bar{\mu} \equiv \mu(x, T) - \Sigma_R(x, T, \omega = 0)$. Since $-\partial f / \partial \omega \rightarrow \delta(\omega)$ as $T \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma_{xx} \sim \rho_0(\bar{\mu}) / \Gamma$, $\sigma_{xy} \sim B \bar{\mu} \rho_0(\bar{\mu}) / \Gamma^2$ and $R_H \sim \bar{\mu}$ so long as $\Gamma \ll D$. Since the sum rule requires $\bar{\mu}(x, T = 0) = \mu(x, T = 0, U = 0) = \mu_0(x)$, R_H is pinned to its band value at $T = 0$. The small x behaviour of the lower T_{cr} (Fig.3) can also be understood within this phenomenology. For $x \rightarrow 0$ (accessible within our numerics so far upto $x \sim 0.06$) $\bar{\mu}(x, T)$ increases rapidly with T . We find $\bar{\mu}(x, T) \sim \mu_0(x) + \alpha T^2 / x^3$, where $\alpha > 0$ depends on U . Since the free band chemical potential $\mu_0(x) \sim -x$ for $x \rightarrow 0$, the crossover scale $T_{cr} \sim x^2$. The rapid rise of $\bar{\mu}$ with increasing T is primarily due to the reduction of $\Sigma_R(x, T, \omega = 0)$. This in turn is due to the redistribution of spectral weight in $\text{Im} \Sigma(\omega)$ which rises rapidly at low frequencies as T increases, causing the disappearance of the resonant feature in $\rho_G(\omega)$ at the Fermi level.

The difference between R_H and R_H^* is further highlighted in their doping dependence as $x \rightarrow 0$ at intermediate T . $R_H \sim g(T)/x$ with $g(T)$ increasing with T . This behaviour is seen down to the lowest accessible x ($\sim O(0.04)$ at these T), despite particle-hole symmetry which should force $R_H(T) = 0$ at $x = 0$. This indicates that due to the large finite T resistivity in the "metal" for $x \rightarrow 0$ (and the absence of any coherence/sum rules unlike at $T = 0$), R_H "diverges", as if the doped holes could be treated as effective carriers within a "Boltzmann like" scenario. R_H^* on the other hand shows a *peak* as x reduces and then a clear turnaround, with $R_H^* \sim x$ for $x \rightarrow 0$. Thus as the "Mott" phase is approached for $T \neq 0$, R_H is "divergent" (with possibly an exponentially small turnaround scale because $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is finite) while R_H^* is benign. This feature of R_H^* has been seen within QMC [7] and confirms that for a one band model with particle-hole symmetry R_H^* is regular as $x \rightarrow 0$. The "divergence" in R_H as $x \rightarrow 0$ had been seen earlier within

slave-boson gauge theories based on the $t - J$ model [23], though they yield only a hole-like R_H (*i.e* no sign change even as $x \rightarrow 1$, *i.e* $n \rightarrow 0$). Within the $d \rightarrow \infty$ scheme Pruschke *et. al* [18] have computed R_H using a combination of QMC and the NCA. Our results are consistent with the QMC data while avoiding the problems of analytic continuation and access low T , large U regimes much better.

It is natural to compare our results with the R_H data on the cuprates [2,3,5] since the cuprates are believed to be doped "Mott" insulators. Although certain features of the data, such as the sign change with increasing x [5], "re-entrance" [24], and the $1/x$ behaviour of R_H [3,5] are recovered within the local approximation the T dependence of R_H , and the characteristic temperature scales are not captured. Even taking into consideration that the $T = 0$ metallic state is not accessible due to superconductivity, experimentally R_H decreases (roughly as $1/T$) beyond a T scale which is $O(50K - 100K)$. In our calculation R_H decreases with increasing T only beyond a temperature scale $\sim O(D/10)$. As demonstrated by its success in understanding metal-insulator transition in 3d transition oxides [25] the local approximation quantitatively captures the physics of strong local correlations. To the extent that one believes that the low energy physics of the cuprates can be described by the large U Hubbard model, one must attribute deviation of the measured from the computed R_H to one or more of the following features which are left out in our treatment: (a) bandstructure effects, including particle-hole asymmetry and the proximity to the Fermi level of the van Hove singularity in the quasi 2d DOS. (b) the $\vec{k}$ dependence of single particle self-energy, and (c) vertex corrections to the conductivity, which do not vanish when (b) is relevant. What is nice about the local approximation is that it has allowed a clean separation of the local correlation effects on R_H , which we have discussed in this paper, from the effects attributable to these other complications, which we hope to study in future work.

We thank P. N. Mahesh, B. S. Shastry and T. V. Ramakrishnan for discussions, and Alexander Punnoose and Prabhakar Pradhan for help with the figures.

-
- [1] *e.g.* see J. M. Ziman, *Electrons and Phonons* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1960)
 - [2] N. P. Ong, Y. F. Fan and J. M. Harris, CCAST Symposium, Beijing 1994 (to be published by Gordon and Breach)
 - [3] B. Batlogg *et. al*, JI of Low Temperature Physics, **95**, 23 (1994)
 - [4] D. M. King *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3159 (1993)

- [5] S. Uchida *et. al* in *Strong Correlation and Superconductivity*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989)
- [6] B. S. Shastry, B. I. Shraiman and R. R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2004 (1993)
- [7] F. F. Assaad and M. Imada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3868 (1995)
- [8] P. N. Mahesh and B. Sriram Shastry, preprint (Oct 1995)
- [9] W. Metzner and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 324 (1989)
- [10] A. Georges and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 6479 (1992).
- [11] M. Jarrell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 168 (1992), M. Rozenberg *et. al* Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1236 (1992), A. Georges and W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1240 (1992).
- [12] J. K. Freericks and M. Jarrell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 186 (1995)
- [13] see A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth and M. J. Rozenberg, review article to appear in Rev. Mod. Phys. (cond-mat 9510091)
- [14] *e.g.* see A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge,1993)
- [15] A. Georges and W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7167 (1993).
- [16] M. J. Rozenberg, G. Kotliar and X. Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 10181 (1994)
- [17] Henrik Kajueter and Gabriel Kotliar, preprint, (cond-mat 9509152) Sept (1995)
- [18] Th. Pruschke, M. Jarrell and J. K. Freericks, preprint (to appear in Advances in Physics)
- [19] A. Khurana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1990 (1990)
- [20] P. Voruganti, A. Golubentsev and Sajeev John, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13945 (1992)
- [21] D. C. Langreth, Phys. Rev. B **150**, 516 (1966), J. M. Luttinger and J. C. Ward, Phys. Rev. **118**, 1417 (1960)
- [22] G. Kotliar, in *Metal-Insulator Transitions Revisited*, Ed. P. P. Edwards and C. N. R. Rao, (Taylor and Francis, 1995)
- [23] N. Nagaosa and Patrick Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2450 (1990), Phys. Rev. B. **43**, 1233 (1991), L. B. Ioffe *et. al*, Phys. Rev. B. **43**, 1219 (1991)
- [24] Z. Z. Wang *et. al*, Phys. Rev. B. **43**, 3020 (1991)
- [25] Pinaki Majumdar and H. R. Krishnamurthy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1525 (1994), M. Rozenberg *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 105 (1995)

FIG. 1 R_H^* in units of $a_0^3/|e|$, for different U/D . Triangle $U/D = 4$; inverted triangle $U/D = 3$; cross $U/D = 2$. Inset: QMC data [7], same legend for $U/4t$.

FIG. 2 R_H^* (a) and R_H (b) in units of $a_0^3/|e|$, for $U = 4$.

FIG. 3 Crossover temperature $T_{cr}(x)$ for $U = 4$. The line is a quadratic fit for $x \rightarrow 0$. "normal" and "anomalous" refer to $R_H < 0$ and $R_H > 0$ respectively.

FIG. 4 R_H (a) and R_H^* (b) in units of $a_0^3/|e|$ for $U = 4$.







